UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			Case No: 15-MJ-30414	
V.				
SNEF	IAL YO	OGESHKUMAR SHAH		
		ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL	
	After	conducting a detention hearin	g under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §	
3142(f), I cor	nclude that these facts require	that Defendant be detained pending trial.	
Part I	– Find	lings of Fact		
Α.		oility. This case is eligible for a Detention Hearing (18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)), e reasons checked below in this Part I A:		
⊠(1)	Under	r 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), upon involves	the government's motion in a case that	
	⊠(a)		on of section 1591, or an offense listed in aximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or	
	□(b)	an offense for which the max	timum sentence is life imprisonment or death;	
		or		
	□(c)	more is prescribed in the Co	num term of imprisonment of ten years or ntrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-ces Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951 46; or	
	□(d)	described in subparagraphs	s been convicted of two or more offenses (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or that would have been offenses described in	

subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving

		rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; $\ensuremath{\mathbf{or}}$			
	□(e)	any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:			
		□(i)	a minor victim, or		
		□(ii)	the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in section 921), or		
		□(iii)	any other dangerous weapon, or		
		□(iv)	involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.		
□(2)		ler 18 U.S.C. 3142(f)(2), upon the government's motion or the court's own ion in a case that involves			
	□(a)	a seri	ous risk that such person will flee; or		
	□(b)	a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate, a prospective witness or juror.			
В.		ttable Presumption. A rebuttable presumption for detention exists in case if reasons are checked below in this Part I B.			
(1)	Defendant on Release Pending Trial (18 U.S.C. § 3142 (e)(2)): A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assurthe safety of another person or the community arises when				
	•	•	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure		
	the sa	fety of Defenand h	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure		
	the sa	Defenand had 3142(that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure another person or the community arises when dant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), as previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. §		
	the sa	Defen and h 3142(The o for a f	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure another person or the community arises when dant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), as previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; and fense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial		
	the sa □(a) □(b)	Defen and h 3142(The o for a f	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure another person or the community arises when dant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), as previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; and fense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial ederal, state, or local offense; and		
	the sa □(a) □(b)	Defen and h 3142(The o for a f	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure another person or the community arises when dant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), as previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; and fense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial ederal, state, or local offense; and od of less than five years has elapsed since		

(2)	Probable Cause Findings (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)): A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community arises when there is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense				
	□(a)	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; or			
	□(b)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device in relation to a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime), 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim, or injure persons or damage property in a foreign country), or 18 U.S.C. § 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries); or			
	□(c)	listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (federal crimes of terrorism) for which the prison term is 10 or more years; or			
	□(d)	under Chapter 77 of Title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed (i.e., 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581, 1583, 1584, 1589, and 1594)(slavery); or			
	⊠(e)	involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E).			
Part II	- Sta	tement of the Reasons for Detention			
	I find	that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing			
establ	ishes				
	by clear and convincing evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no ition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the nunity; or				
□ no cor appea	ndition	oreponderance of the evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's or			
	both o	of the above.			

Statement of reasons for detention pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3142(i):

For the reasons stated on the record at the conclusion of the hearing held on September 9, 2015:

The Court finds probable cause to believe that Defendant committed an offense involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E) and further finds that the rebuttal presumption favoring detention which arises from this probable cause finding has not been overcome. In any case, even if, arguendo, the presumption had been overcome, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community. The Court further clarifies that in considering the four factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the "weight of the evidence" factor referenced in § 3142(g)(2) "goes to the weight of the evidence of dangerousness, not the weight of the evidence of the defendant's guilt." United States v. Stone, 608 F.3d 939, 948 (6th Cir. 2010)." The Court has analyzed the weight of the evidence of dangerousness, inter alia, and finds that this factor favors detention, because significant, reliable and cumulative evidence demonstrates, as explained in open court, that Defendant is a danger to the safety of another person or to the community. The other three factors likewise favor detention, as explained on the record.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the

2:15-mj-30414-DUTY Doc # 8 Filed 09/09/15 Pg 5 of 5 Pg ID 19

Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for a court appearance.

Review of this Order is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3145 and E.D. Mich. L.R. 57.2.

Date: September 10, 2015 /S ANTHONY P. PATTI

United States Magistrate Judge